

HOLLY L. WOLCOTT  
CITY CLERK

PETTY F. SANTOS  
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

# CITY OF LOS ANGELES

CALIFORNIA



ERIC GARCETTI  
MAYOR

OFFICE OF THE  
CITY CLERK  
ELECTION DIVISION

SPACE 300  
555 RAMIREZ STREET  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012  
(213) 978-0444  
FAX: (213) 978-0376

JINNY PAK  
DIVISION MANAGER

[clerk.lacity.org](http://clerk.lacity.org)

January 27, 2022

The Los Angeles City Council  
City Hall, Los Angeles, California

REGARDING: ASSESSMENT BALLOT PROCEEDING FOR  
AMBROSE AVENUE AND RODNEY DRIVE LIGHTING DISTRICT  
COUNCIL FILE NUMBER 21-0900-S94

Honorable Councilmembers:

In accordance with Proposition 218, the City of Los Angeles provided notice to the property owners of the parcels in the proposed Ambrose Avenue and Rodney Drive Lighting District, as specified in the subject Council File. Along with that notice, each property owner received an assessment ballot weighted by the amount of the parcel's proposed assessment. I have tabulated the assessment ballots delivered to my office by the final hearing date of January 25, 2022.

The proposed assessment district failed because it received a weighted majority of "no" ballots as defined in Article XIII D (Proposition 218) of the State Constitution. As a result, the City may not impose an assessment for the improvement and maintenance of lighting in the proposed district. The certified results of the tabulations are attached. I have also forwarded a copy of these results to the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting, for their information.

## RECOMMENDATIONS FOR COUNCIL ACTION:

In accordance with Council policy adopted on December 19, 1997 (CF 97-0843) and the report of the Board of Public Works adopted by the Council on May 9, 1998:

1. FIND that a majority protest exists in the Street Lighting Maintenance Assessment District and therefore the proposed assessment cannot be enacted.
2. ADOPT the abandoning Ordinance attached to the Council File.
3. INSTRUCT the Director, Bureau of Street Lighting, to assure that the streetlights are not installed or are removed from service if previously installed, in accordance with Council policy.

Sincerely,

For  
HOLLY L. WOLCOTT  
City Clerk

Attachments

cc: Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting

STATE OF CALIFORNIA            )  
  ) SS  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES        )

I, HOLLY L. WOLCOTT, City Clerk of the City of Los Angeles, hereby certify to the City Council that two ballots were submitted on or before January 25, 2022, in connection with the assessment ballot proceeding for the proposed Ambrose Avenue and Rodney Drive Lighting Maintenance Assessment District, as specified in Council File Number 21-0900-S94. I further certify the attached report to be a true, correct and complete tabulation of the returns of that assessment ballot proceeding.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal this 27<sup>th</sup> day of January 2022.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Holly L. Wolcott', with a stylized flourish at the end.

For  
HOLLY L. WOLCOTT  
City Clerk

**Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for  
Ambrose Avenue and Rodney Drive Lighting District**

**Final Public Hearing January 25, 2022**

**Election # 5354  
Council File # 21-0900-S94**

**Ballot Tally**

Assmnt No.	County APN	Owner	Assessment Weight	Ballot Vote Y/N	Weighted Vote		No Vote/ Challenged
					Yes	No	
1	5590-004-001	MANHATTAN 4 LLC	\$140.60		Not Cast	Not Cast	
2	5590-004-002	RMV AMBROD LLC C/O C/O VARTAN VARTANIAN	\$170.42		Not Cast	Not Cast	
3	5590-006-001	QUIMBY,BRETT B AND ALYSSA M TRS QUIMBY FAMILY TRUST	\$63.91	Y	\$ 63.91		
4	5590-006-026	BARSEGHIAN,HARRY AND ALVARD	\$83.08		Not Cast	Not Cast	
5	5590-006-025	KRANK,BERNADETTE E TR BERNADETTE E KRANK TRUST	\$83.08	N		\$ 83.08	
TOTAL			\$541.09		\$ 63.91	\$ 83.08	
5	TOTAL VOTES			2	1	1	0

**Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for  
Ambrose Avenue and Rodney Drive Lighting District**

**Final Public Hearing January 25, 2022**

**Election # 5354**

**Council File # 21-0900-S94**

Voting/Ballot Summary			
Vote	Weighted Total	Assessment Percent of Votes Cast	Number of Ballots
Yes	\$63.91	43.48%	1
No	\$83.08	56.52%	1
No Vote			0
Challenged			0

Assessment District Summary			
	Total	Voted	Percent
Parcels	5	2	40%
Assessment	\$541.09	\$146.99	27%